

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

Volume 44, Issue 1, Page 76-86, 2025; Article no.CJAST.129555 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

Variation of the Critical Frequency of the Ionospheric F2 Layer during Moderate Geomagnetic Conditions: A Study Using Data from the Ouagadougou Ionosonde Station Across Solar Cycles 21 and 22

DIABATE Abidina ^{a,b}, SAWADOGO Wambi Emmanuel ^{a,c}, GUIBULA Karim ^{a,d*} and OUATTARA Frédéric ^a

^a Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique, de Physique Spatiale et Énergétique (L@CAPSE), Université Norbert ZONGO, Koudougou, Burkina Faso. ^b Université Nazi BONI (UNB), Burkina Faso. ^c École Normale Supérieure (ENS), Burkina Faso. ^d Université Virtuelle du Burkina Faso (UVBF), Burkina Faso.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2025/v44i14477

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129555

> Received: 05/11/2024 Accepted: 10/01/2025 Published: 16/01/2025

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kariguib92@gmail.com;

Cite as: Abidina, DIABATE, SAWADOGO Wambi Emmanuel, GUIBULA Karim, and OUATTARA Frédéric. 2025. "Variation of the Critical Frequency of the Ionospheric F2 Layer During Moderate Geomagnetic Conditions: A Study Using Data from the Ouagadougou Ionosonde Station Across Solar Cycles 21 and 22". Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology 44 (1):76-86. https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2025/v44i14477.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the variations in the critical frequency of the F2 layer (foF2) at the Ouagadougou ionosonde station during moderate geomagnetic activities, focusing on corotating and magnetic cloud events across the minimum and maximum phases of solar cycles 21 and 22. The analysis reveals significant perturbations in the diurnal profiles of foF2 during magnetic cloud activity at the solar minimum phase, compared to profiles observed during magnetically quiet activity. These perturbations indicate a disturbance of electrodynamic processes, including ionospheric currents and upward drifts. Conversely, corotating activity exhibits negligible impact on foF2 profiles at solar minimum phase. At solar maximum phase, the diurnal profiles observed during moderate geomagnetic activities are identical to those observed during very quiet days. Notably, Δ foF2 values during moderate activities are generally below 20% during the day but show short-lived positive storms (>20%) at night, especially near sunrise. These findings enhance our understanding of equatorial ionospheric dynamics and the influence of geomagnetic activity, contributing to improved space weather predictions and ionospheric modeling.

Keywords: Corotating; magnetic cloud; diurnal; ionospheric storm; solar phase.

1. INTRODUCTION

Region F is one of the most investigated ionospheric regions because of its important role in high-frequency communications. Many investigations have made it possible to establish the causes of its variation, among which we can cite the solar wind and geomagnetic activity (Legrand & Simon, 1989; Lotko, 1989; Simon & Legrand, 1989; Lal, 1998; Ouattara, 2009; Ouattara et al., 2009a; 2009b).

Numerous works (Richardson et al., 2000; Richardson & Cane, 2002; Du, 2011) have made it possible to make a link between solar activity and transient variations in the Earth's magnetic geomagnetic field. also called activity. Particularly, the work of Legrand & Simon (1989) led to the organization of geomagnetic activity into four classes, each linked to a solar event : (1) quiet activity is associated with slow solar winds, (2) the recurring activity is caused by solar winds coming from the coronal holes and lasting for one or more solar rotations, (3) the shock activity is caused by coronal mass ejections (CME) and (4) the fluctuating activity is due to fluctuations generated during the flow of moderate and fast solar winds. Each of these geomagnetic activities has particular influences on the variations of the ionosphere revealed by numerous authors such as Fejer et al., (1999), Araujo-Pradere, (1997), Fuller-Rowell et al., (2000), Ouattara et al., (2015) and Ouattara & Zerbo, (2011).

Following the classification of Legrand et Simon, (1989), Zerbo et al., (2012) showed that fluctuating activity could be refined by taking into

account the effect of active regions and the moderate winds drowned there. Their work resulted in the extraction of three geomagnetic activities in the fluctuating activity: (a) corotating activity, (b) magnetic cloud activity, and (c) unclear activity. The results of their work showed that the magnetic cloud activity and the shock activity are governed by the same solar mechanisms but with different energy levels. The same is true for corotating activity and recurrent activity which all arise from fast solar winds coming from the coronal holes.

Corotating and magnetic cloud activities have moderate magnetic effects in the vicinity of the terrestrial environment. Numerous studies have been carried out on the impact of different geomagnetic activities on variations in the ionosphere. However, studies that concern moderate activities are done either by drowning them in fluctuating activity (Ouattara & Amory-Mazaudier, 2012; Diabate et al., 2018) or by associating them with recurring and shocks activities (Sandwidi & Ouattara, 2022). In particular, we focus on the impact of these moderate activities only on variations in the critical frequency of the layer F2 (foF2). The study concerns values of foF2 recorded at the equatorial station of Ouagadougou (Lat: 12.5°N, Long: 358.5°E, dip: 1.43°) during the minimum and maximum solar phases of solar cycles 21 and 22.

In section 2 of our study, we present the materials and methods used. Section 3 concerns the presentation of results and discussions. We end with the conclusion in section 4.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Data

The ionospheric parameter studied is the critical frequency of the ionospheric layer F2 (foF2) of the Ouagadougou ionosonde station (Lat: 12.5°N, Long: 358.5°E, dip: 1.43°). The hourly values of foF2 concerning this station are provided by Brest Telecom (formerly ENST Bretagne).

The annual average of the new version of the sunspot number (SN) are used to characterize solar activity. They are downloaded from the NASA OMNIWeb website: https://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/form/dx1.html.

The aa index values are used to identify geomagnetic activities. They are available on the site http://isgi.unistra.fr/data_download.php.

2.2 Methods

- a) Identification of seasons: the months of the year are classified into seasons. We have the winter (December-January-February), spring (March-April-May), summer (June-July-August) and autumn (September-October-November).
- b) Identification of the phases of the solar cycle: The different phases of the solar cycle are identified by applying the criteria defined by Sawadogo et al., (2024), on the new version of the annual mean values of the sunspot number (SN). According to these criteria we have:
- minimum phase: $SN(t) < 0.122 \times SN_{max}$;
- Increasing phase: $0.122 \times SN_{max} \leq SN(t) \leq 0.73 \times SN_{max}$;
- maximum phase: $SN(t) > 0.73 \times SN_{max}$

- decreasing phase: $0.73 \times SN_{max} \ge SN(t) > SN_{min(next cycle)}$

Table 1. Distribution of years at the minimumand maximum of solar cycles 21-22

Solar phases										
Solare cycle	Minimum	Maximum								
21	1976	1979 - 1980 - 1981								
22	1986	1989 - 1990 - 1991								

SN(t), SN_{max} , and SN_{min} are respectively the annual mean value of sunspot in a given year, the maximum value of sunspot numbers in a given solar cycle and the minimum value of sunspot numbers in the next solar cycle. Table 1 shows the years covered by our study and their distribution in the solar phases.

- Classification of geomagnetic activity: we c) use the results of the work of Legrand et Simon, (1989) and Zerbo et al., (2012). The work of Legrand & Simon, (1989) allows us to organize geomagnetic activity into four classes: quiet activity, recurrent activity, shock activity and fluctuating activity. Zerbo et al., (2012) refined fluctuating activity into three new classes: magnetic cloud activity, corotating activity and unclear activity. The days covered by our study are days of very quiet, corotating and magnetic clouds activities. The variations observed during very quiet activity serve as a reference. To identify these different geomagnetic activities, these authors established the following criteria:
- Days of very quiet activity: days when *Aa* < 10 *nT* (white colors in the pixel diagram of Fig. 1);
- Days of corotating activity: days when 20 nT ≤ Aa < 40 nT without SSC (yellow and green colors in the pixel diagram of Fig. 1);
- Days of magnetic cloud activity: SSC days whose effect lasts one, two or three days with $20 nT \le Aa < 40 nT$ (yellow and green colors with a circle in the pixel diagram of Fig. 1).
- d) Profile analysis: The diurnal variations of foF2 in the equatorial sector are characterized by five types of profiles established by Faynot & Vila, (1979) : i) "B" or "noon bite-out" profile the characterized by a double peak; ii) the "M" "morning peak" profile with a or peak occurring in the morning; iii) the "D" or "Dome" profile having the shape of a dome; iv) the "R" or "Reversed " profile characterized by a peak in the afternoon and v) the "P" or "Plateau" profile having the shape of a plateau.

Uncles										r activity					Reccurent activity																			
01 januar 1983							<u>18</u>	16	19	18	12	6		18	38	93	(22)	33	14	17	44	41	47	54	29	21	21	13		aa	(nT)			
21 januar	29	21	21	13	14	35	29	25	V	21	30	29	24	19	11		82	184	55	67	29	34	39	41	44	51	46	44	45	28	21			
17 februai	44	45	28	21	21	64	56	30	29	34	11	10	15	18	40	97	56	42	37	17	14	12	13	14	40	59	35	38	25	17	20		100	D
16 march	38	25	17	20	33	38	42	26	16	17	19	67	20	6	57	55	43	48	33	29	27	32	27	53	52	40	31	39	11	19	55			
12 april	39	11	19	55	78	73	41	29	23	20	25	19	27	26	71	44	43	25	20	48	35	37	22	23	45	37	24	17	23	10	13		60	
09 may	17	23	10	13	70) 61	59	35	30	19	79	22	10	16	(39	53	53	89	17	19	22	9	11	17	21	22	15	12	6	15	17			
05 june	12	6	15	17	10	23	29 (43	17	28	03	21	30	15	27	50	30	32	30	43	26	14	9	20	14	22	20	13	<u>11</u>	14	16		40	
02 july	13	11	14	16	14	12	26	21	(23)	24 (8	9	24	30	13	10	30	46	38	20	14	17	21	42	48	20	13	16	18	25	31			
29 july	16	18	25	31	14	10	47	28	70	4	11	25	55	18	7	11	38	39	18	23	9	11	4	(18)	22	40	30	38	39	53	39		30	
25 august	38	39	53	39	10	15	30	¥	46	26	13	10	6	6	10	36	26	28	22	22	24	16	15	40	40	39	22	65	35	21	23			
21 septen	65	35	21	23	9	19	50	43	21	21	12	6	24	36	27	(59	16	38	19	24	10	16	13	12	57	37	34	22	61	79	14		20	
18 octobe	22	61	79	14	13	32	28	39	39	14	5	6	19	53	30	19	34	55	32	12	6	6	22	44	66	49	61	69	39	44	39			
14 novem	69	39	44	39	56	50	38	25	41	18	13	4	25	27	30	14	26	39	38	25	18	8	11	30	50	43	18	6	44	53	41		10	
11 decem	6	44	53	41	ß	44	27	9	11	14	19	8	6	23	21	32	18	32	24	22	19	64	52											
Magnetic cloud activity Q										Ve uiet activity				ary que	luiet vity		Corotating activity							shock activity										

Abidina et al.; Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 76-86, 2025; Article no.CJAST.129555

Fig. 1. Pixel diagram illustrating days of geomagnetic activity in the year 1983

Fig. 1 illustrates the identification of different geomagnetic activities.

Subsequent studies have shown that the strength of the daily electrojet has a pronounced control on the foF2 in the equatorial trough and crest regions, whether on magnetically quiet or disturbed days (Rastogi & Rajaram, 1971). The role of the equatorial electrojet on the distribution of ionization at low latitudes was clearly demonstrated by Sethia et al., (1980). The work of Vassal, (1982) on the Eastern Senegal sector allowed him to highlight a strong link between the variations of the horizontal magnetic field and that of foF2. This work led to the establishment of a link between the diurnal profiles of foF2 and the presence, absence and strength of the electrojet and counter-electrojet. Thus, profiles "D" and "P" express the absence of an electrojet; profile "M" indicates the existence of a moderate electroiet: profile "R" shows the presence of a counterelectrojet in the afternoon; and profile "B" indicates the presence of high intensity electrojet.

e) Ionospheric storms: We use the relative deviation ∆foF2 (Vijaya et al., 2011) to quantify ionospheric storms caused by the two moderate activities. ∆foF2 is defined by the following equation:

$$\Delta foF2 = \frac{foF2_m - foF2_Q}{foF2_Q} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

In this equation, $foF2_m$ is the hourly mean value of foF2 during days moderate geomagnetic activity (corotating and magnetic cloud activities) and $foF2_Q$ is that during days of very quiet activity. The storm is classified as positive or negative depending on whether $\Delta foF2 > 20\%$ or $\Delta foF2 < 20\%$, respectively.

Many studies (Forbes et al., 2000; Rishbeth & Mendillo, 2001; Buresova & Lastovicka, 2007; Vijaya et al., 2011 and Sandwidi & Ouattara, 2022) have used different threshold values to describe the intensity of ionospheric storms. For example, Rishbeth & Mendillo, (2001) used a standard deviation of NmF2 (ΔNmF2) threshold of 20% during the day and 33% during the night to describe the maximum variability allowed during quiet periods. Based on the work of Vijaya et al., 2011, Buresova & Lastovicka, (2007) considered a fluctuation of $\pm 20\%$ of Δ foF2 as an indicator of moderate storm and beyond this threshold, the storm is considered intense. Indeed, according to Lu et al., (2008), cited by Vijaya et al., (2011), both positive and negative storms occur when the absolute maximum value of Δ foF2 exceeds 20%. In the present work, we consider as tolerable, a maximum variability of $\pm 20\%$ in moderate period compared to the very quiet period. Thus, a positive storm occurs when Δ foF2 > 20% and a negative storm, when Δ foF2 < -20%.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

3.1.1 At the solar phase minimum

Fig. 2 shows the seasonal diurnal variations of foF2 (left) and Δ foF2 (right) at solar phase minimum during days of corotating geomagnetic activities (red curves), magnetic clouds (blue curves) and very quiet (black curves). Panels a, b, c and d are dedicated to the winter, spring, summer and autumn seasons, respectively.

In winter, the hourly variations of foF2 during days of very quiet activity are of the Reversed type with a less noticeable trough at 11:00 UT. The same profile is observed during days of corotating activity. During days of magnetic cloud activity, a profile tending towards the plateau type is observed. During the night, the three profiles present the same decreasing trends. The variation curves of Δ foF2 show positive values during corotating activity throughout the day except at 00:00 UT and 04:00 UT. During the day, these values do not reach 15%, which indicates that corotating activity does not cause ionospheric storms during the day. During the night, a fluctuation of ∆foF2 values is observed with a peak of 38% at 03:00 UT. During magnetic cloud activity, Δ foF2 is negative during the day (from 06:00 UT to 21:00 UT) and positive at night (22:00 UT to 05:00 UT). The absolute values never reach 20%, which means that magnetic cloud activity does not cause ionospheric storms in winter.

In spring, the diurnal variations of foF2 during days of very quiet activity and corotating activity show a profile identical to that observed in winter, i.e. a reversed profile. During days of magnetic cloud activity, a noon bite out profile is observed, with asymmetric peaks [the evening peak (17:00 UT; 10.20 MHz) being more pronounced than the morning peak (9:00 UT; 9.13 MHz)]. The variation curves of Δ foF2 show mainly positive values for both moderate activities. During the day, these values vary between 15 and 20% during magnetic cloud activity and are always

below 15% during corotating activity. During the night, significant increases in Δ foF2 are recorded during both moderate activities. During corotating activity, Δ foF2 is greater than 20% from 21:00 UT

to 23:00 UT and from 03:00 UT to 05:00 UT. During magnetic cloud activity, from 20:00 UT to 21:00 UT and at 05:00 UT, Δ foF2 is greater than 20%.



Fig. 2. Influence of moderate geomagnetic activities on diurnal variations of foF2 as a function of the seasons of the solar phase minimum at the Ouagadougou station

In summer, the diurnal profiles during the two moderate activities show the same evolutions as that of foF2 during the very quiet activity: Reversed profile. The ionization peaks are observed between 18:00 UT and 19:00 UT with values of 7.17MHz; 8.1MHz and 8.52 MHz respectively during the magnetic cloud, very quiet and corotating activities. During the night, the three profiles show similar decreases. The Δ foF2 curves fluctuate between positive and negative with absolute values not reaching 10%. Only between 21:00 UT and 23:00 UT absolute value of Δ foF2 exceeds 20% during the corotating activity with a maximum value of ~36% at 22:00 UT.

In autumn, we do not have data during the magnetic cloud activity. The hourly variations of foF2 observed during the quiet and corotating activities are of the 'noon bite out' type with the evening peak larger than the morning peak. However, there is a time difference at the troughs: the trough is observed at 11:00 UT during the very quiet activity and at 12:00 UT during the corotating activity. In addition, the trough is deeper during the corotating activity. The values of Δ foF2 fluctuate between positive and negative with an absolute value not exceeding 20%.

3.1.2 At maximum solar phase

Fig. 3 shows the seasonal diurnal variations of foF2 and Δ foF2 at solar phase maximum.

During the winter, spring and autumn seasons, the diurnal profiles of foF2 during very quiet days are of the "morning peak" type with a maximum of ionization around 09:00 UT. After the peak, a decrease in the profiles is observed. This decrease becomes more significant from 16:00 UT to 17:00 UT. The same profile is observed during corotating and magnetic cloud activities. However, in winter, an ionization trough is observed at noon during very guiet and corotating activities. This trough is not observed during magnetic cloud activity. The absolute values of *A*foF2 do not exceed 10% during the three seasons for the two moderate activities. However, just before sunrise (04:00-0500 UT) positive peaks of *A*foF2 are observed with values reaching 30 - 35%.

In summer, the diurnal variations of foF2 during very quiet activity is the 'noon bite out' profile with almost symmetrical peaks and the ionization trough occurs at 12:00 UT. The same variation profile is observed during corotating and magnetic cloud days. The Δ foF2 variations show

a peak between 01:00 UT and 02:00 UT with $\Delta foF2 \approx 29\%$ during corotating activity and $\Delta foF2 \approx 43\%$ during magnetic cloud activity. Outside this period, $\Delta foF2$ show low values not reaching 20% during all the rest of the time for both activities.

3.2 Discussion

We studied the variations of the critical frequency of the F2 layer during moderate geomagnetic activities of corotating and magnetic clouds at the equatorial station of Ouagadougou. The results obtained show that:

3.2.1 Diurnal profiles

- At solar minimum and in all seasons, the diurnal variations of foF2 during corotating activity days are characterized by profiles identical to those observed during very quiet activity for each of the four seasons. Referring to the link between the diurnal profiles of foF2 and the distributions of ionospheric currents in the E layer (Vassal, 1982), we can say that neither the ionospheric currents nor the EXB vertical drift are disturbed during days of corotating geomagnetic activity at solar phase dominated minimum; by а quasipermanent presence of the counterelectrojet. However, the diurnal profiles observed during magnetic cloud activity differ from those observed during very quiet activity. This shows an inhibition of the counter-electrojet observed during very quiet activity in favor of a weak electrojet, except in summer.
- At the maximum phase of the solar cycle, the foF2 profiles observed during the two moderate geomagnetic activities are identical to those of the very quiet activity. These results allow us to conclude that the moderate corotation and magnetic cloud activities do not modify the electrodynamic process of the F2 layer. Like the very quiet activity, these activities are characterized by a permanent presence of an electroiet of medium intensity in the morning. The counter-electrojet is only observable during the summer. During the night, the foF2 variations are marked by an ionization trough at 20:00 UT followed by a peak between 21:00 UT and 22:00 UT. This phenomenon can be attributed to the manifestation of the pre-reversal (PRE) phenomenon with а late appearance during all three activities.



Fig. 3. Influence of moderate geomagnetic activities on diurnal variations of foF2 as a function of the seasons of the solar phase maximum at the Ouagadougou station

These results show an anti-correlation between the equatorial counter-electrojet with solar activity: a quasi-permanent presence of the counter-electrojet during the solar minimum and an absence during the solar maximum. This property, observed by Rastogi, (1974), Mayaud, (1977) and Marriott et al., (1979) is disturbed during the activity of magnetic clouds at the solar phase minimum.

3.2.2 Ionospheric storms

At both solar minimum and solar maximum, the absolute values of Δ foF2 do not reach 20% during the day, for the two moderate geomagnetic activities. It is only during the nights that Δ foF2 values greater than 20% are often observed and this does not last more than three hours. We can therefore say that during the day, the geomagnetic activities of corotating and magnetic clouds do not cause ionospheric storms. On the other hand, during the nights, short-term positive ionospheric storms (01 to 03 hours maximum) are caused by these two activities. These ionospheric storms are more frequent at solar minimum than at solar maximum. Sandwidi & Ouattara, (2022) had also noted a frequency of positive storms during the (when chemical recombination niaht is predominant). In their work on the variability of the F2-layer, Rishbeth & Mendillo, (2001), attributed a large part of this variability to geomagnetic activity. In particular, they suggested that the greater nocturnal variability of the F2-layer is due to enhanced auroral energy input, and to the lack of the strong photochemical control of the F2-layer. Based on these different results, we can say under the activity of magnetic clouds this mechanism (strong auroral energy input and strong decreased of photochemical control) is more developed.

4. CONCLUSION

The present study on the diurnal and seasonal variations of foF2 at the equatorial station of Ouagadougou shows that:

The moderate geomagnetic activities of corotation and magnetic clouds. all extracted from the fluctuating activity, present different influences on the variation of foF2 according to the solar activity: the diurnal profiles of foF2 observed during the corotation activity are almost identical to those observed during the very quiet activity at both the solar minimum and maximum. On the other hand, the magnetic cloud activity considerably modifies the diurnal profiles of foF2 during the solar phase minimum.

• During the day, the two moderate geomagnetic activities do not significantly modify the hourly values of foF2 observed during the magnetically quiet period. However, at night, they are at the origin of a considerable increase in foF2. This increase is short-lived (01 to 03 hours) and generally occurs around 20:00 UT and as sunrise approaches.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Télécom Bretagne for providing the foF2 data from the Ouagadougou station. They also thank OMNIWEB Plus and International Service of Geomagnetic Indices (ISGI) for making available the Dst and aa index data, respectively.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Araujo-Pradere, E. A. (1997). foF2 frequency bands in El Cerrillo, Mexico during magnetically quiet conditions. *Revista Brasileira de Geofísica*, 15(2).
- Buresova, D., & Lastovicka, J. (2007). Pre-storm enhancements of foF2 above Europe. *Advances in Space Research*, 39, 1298– 1303.
- Diabate, A., Ouattara, F., & Zerbo, J.-L. (2018). Annual and diurnal variabilities in the critical frequency (foF2) during geomagnetic fluctuating activity over solar cycles 21 and 22 at Ouagadougou. *Atmospheric and Climate Sciences*, 8, 435-445.

https://doi.org/10.4236/acs.2018.84029

- Du, Z. L. (2011). The correlation between solar and geomagnetic activity—Part 2: Longterm trends. Annales Geophysicae, 29, 1348. https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-29-1341-2011
- Faynot, J. M., & Villa, P. (1979). F region at the magnetic equator. *Annales Geophysicae*, 35, 1-9.

- Fejer, B. G., Scherliess, L., & de Paula, E. R. (1999). Effects of the vertical plasma drift velocity on the generation and evolution of equatorial spread F. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104, 19859-19869.
- Forbes, M. J., Paolo, E. S., & Zhang, X. (2000). Variability of the ionosphere. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 62, 685-693.
- Fuller-Rowell, T. J., Codrescu, M. C., & Wilkinson, P. (2000). Quantitative modeling of the ionospheric response to geomagnetic activity. Annales Geophysicae, 18, 766–781. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00585-000-0766-7
- Lal, C. (1998). Contribution of solar wind and equinoctial maxima in geophysical phenomena. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 60, 1017-1024.
- Legrand, J. P., & Simon, P. A. (1989). Solar cycle and geomagnetic activity: A review for geophysicists. Part I. The contributions to geomagnetic activity of shock waves and of the solar wind. *Annales Geophysicae*, 7(6).
- Lotko, W. (1989). Report of the working group on ionospheric signatures. GEM report on the Workshop on Magnetospheric and Boundary Layer Physics, La Jolla, CA.
- Lu, G., Goncharenko, L. P., Richmond, A. D., Roble, R. G., & Aponte, N. (2008). A dayside ionospheric positive storm phase driven by neutral winds. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, A08304. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JA012895
- Marriott, R. T., Richmond, A. D., & Venkateswaran, S. V. (1979). The quiettime equatorial electrojet and counter electrojet. *Journal of Geomagnetism and Geoelectrics*, 31, 311–340.
- Mayaud, P. N. (1977). The equatorial counter electrojet – A review of its geomagnetic aspects. *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 39, 1055-1070.
- Ouattara, F. (2009). Relationship between geomagnetic classes' activity phases and their occurrence during the sunspot cycle. *Annales Geophysicae*, 52(2), 107-116.
- Ouattara, F., & Amory-Mazaudier, C. (2012). Statistical study of the equatorial F layer critical frequency at Ouagadougou during solar cycles 20, 21, and 22, using Legrand and Simon's classification of geomagnetic activity. *EDP Sciences*.
- Ouattara, F., & Zerbo, J.-L. (2011). Ouagadougou station F2 layer parameters yearly and seasonal variations during

severe geomagnetic storms generated by CMEs and fluctuating wind streams. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 6(20), 4854-4860.

- Ouattara, F., Ali, M. M., & Zougmoré, F. (2015). A comparative study of seasonal and quiet-time foF2 diurnal variation at Dakar and Ouagadougou stations during solar minimum and maximum for solar cycles 21-22. *European Scientific Journal*, 11(24).
- Ouattara, F., Amory-Mazaudier, C., Menvielle, M., Simon, P. A., & Legrand, P. J. (2009b). On the long term change in the geomagnetic activity during the 20th century. *Annales Geophysicae*, 27(5), 2045-2051.
- Ouattara, F., Amory-Mazaudier, C., Vila, P., Fleury, R., & Duchesne, P. L. (2009a). West African Equatorial ionospheric parameters climatology based on Ouagadougou station ionosonde data from June 1966 to February 1998. *Annales Geophysicae*, 27, 2503-2514. https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-2503-2009
- Rastogi, R. G. (1974). Westward equatorial electrojet during daytime hours. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 79(10), 209-214.
- Rastogi, R. G., & Rajarame, G. (1971). Electrojet effects on the equatorial F-region during magnetically quiet and disturbed days. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Physics*, 9, 531-536.
- Richardson, I. G., & Cane, H. V. (2002). Sources of geomagnetic activity during nearly three solar cycles (1972-2000). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(A8), 1187.
- Richardson, I. G., Cliver, E. W., & Cane, H. V. (2000). Sources of geomagnetic activity over the solar cycle: Relative importance of CMEs, high-speed streams, and slow solar wind. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, 18,203.
- Rishbeth, H., & Mendillo, M. (2001). Patterns of F2-layer variability. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 63, 1661-1680.
- Sandwidi, S. A., & Ouattara, F. (2022). Recurrent events' impacts on foF2 diurnal variations at Dakar station during solar cycles 21-22. International Journal of Geophysics, Article ID: 4883155.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4883155

Sawadogo, S., Gnabahou, D. A., Pahima, T., & Ouattara, F. (2024). Solar activity: Towards a standard classification of solar phases Abidina et al.; Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol., vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 76-86, 2025; Article no.CJAST.129555

from cycle 1 to cycle 24. Advances in Space Research, 73(1), 1041-1049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2023.11.011

- Sethia, G., Rastogi, R. G., Deshpande, M. R., & Chandra, H. (1980). Equatorial electrojet control of the low latitude ionosphere. *Journal of Geomagnetism and Geoelectrics*, 32, 207-216.
- Simon, P. A., & Legrand, J. P. (1989). Solar cycle and geomagnetic activity: A review for geophysicists. Part II. The solar sources of geomagnetic activity and their links with sunspot cycle activity. *Annales Geophysicae*, 7(6).
- Vassal, J. A. (1982). Electrojet, contre électrojet et région F à Sarh (Tchad). *Géophysique*, ORSTOM, Paris.
- Vijaya Lekshmi, D., Balan, N., Tulasi Ram, S., & Liu, J. Y. (2011). Statistics of geomagnetic storms and ionospheric storms at low and mid latitudes in two solar cycles. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, A11328. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JA017042
- Zerbo, J.-L., Amory-Mazaudier, C., Ouattara, F., & Richardson, J. (2012). Solar wind and geomagnetism, toward a standard classification 1868-2009. *Annales Geophysicae*, 30, 421-426.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/129555